

Continue



Impact of Jet The liquid comes out in the form of a jet from the outlet of a nozzle, which is fitted to a pipe through which the liquid is flowing under pressure. If some plate, which may be fixed or moving, is placed in the path of the jet, a force is exerted by the jet on the plate. This force is obtained from Newtons second law of motion or from impulse-momentum equation. Thus impact of jet means the force exerted by the jet on a plate which may be stationary or moving. In this chapter, the following cases of the impact jet i.e., the force exerted by the jet on a plate, will be considered: Force exerted by a jet on a stationary plate when Plate is vertical to the jet, Plate is inclined to the jet, and Plate is curved. Force is exerted by the jet on the moving plate, when Plate is vertical to the jet, Plate is inclined to the jet, and Plate is curved Force exerted by the jet on a stationary vertical plate: Consider a jet of water coming out of the nozzle, strikes a flat vertical plate as shown in the Figure 1. I, Let, the jet after striking the plate will move along the plate. But the plate is rigid and vertical to the jet. Hence the jet after striking will get deflected by 90°. Hence the component of the velocity of the jet, in the direction of the jet, after striking will be zero. The force exerted by the jet on the plate in the direction of the jet = (initial momentum - final momentum)/ time For deriving the above equation, we have taken initial velocity minus final velocity and not final velocity minus initial velocity. If the force exerted on the jet is to be calculated then final minus the initial velocity is taken. But if the force exerted by the jet on the plate is to be calculated, then initial velocity minus the final velocity = final momentum - initial momentum/ time For a jet on a stationary inclined flat plate : Let a jet of water, coming out from the nozzle; strike an inclined flat plate as shown in the figure.2. Let v = velocity of the jet in the direction of X = Angle between the jet and the plate If the plate is assumed smooth and if it is assumed that there is no loss of energy due to the impact of the jet, then the jet will move over the plate after striking with a velocity equal to initial velocity i.e., with a velocity V. Let find the force exerted by the jet on the plate in the direction normal to the plate. Let this force is represented by Fn then, Fn = Mass of the jet striking per second [Initial velocity of the jet before striking in the direction of n - final velocity of the jet after striking in the direction of n if the force can be resolved into two components, one in the direction of the jet and the other perpendicular to the direction of the flow. Then we have, (along the direction of the flow) the force exerted by a jet on stationary cover plate jet strikes the curved plate at the centre as shown in figure.3. The jet after striking the plate comes out with the same velocity if the plate is smooth and there is no loss of energy due to impact of the jet, in the tangential direction of the curved plate. The velocity at the outlet of the plate can be resolved into two components, one in the direction of the jet and the other perpendicular to the direction of the jet. Component of velocity In the direction of the jet = (-ve sign is taken as the velocity at the outlet is in the opposite direction of the jet of water coming out from nozzle). Component of the velocity perpendicular to the jet = Force exerted by the jet In the direction of the jet, where, v2 = final velocity in the direction of the jet = v cos similarly where, -ve sign means the force is acting in the downward direction. In this case the angle of deflection of the jet = jet strikes the curved plate at an end tangentially when the plate is symmetrical Let the jet strike the curved plate at one end tangentially as shown in the figure.4. Let the curved is symmetrical about x-axis. Then the angle made by the tangents at the two ends of the plate will be same. Let V = Velocity of the jet of water =angle made by jet with x-axis at inlet tip of the curved plate. If the plate is smooth and loss of energy due to impact is zero, then the velocity of the water at the outlet tip of the curved plate will be equal to V. The force exerted by the jet of water in the direction of x and y are Jet strikes the curved plate at one end tangentially when the plate is unsymmetrical When the curved plate is unsymmetrical about x axis, then the angles made by the tangents drawn at the inlet and outlet tips of the plate with x-axis will be different. = angle made by the tangent at the tip with the x axis, = angle made by the tangent at the outlet tip with x-axis. The two component of the velocity at inlet are The two component of the velocity at outlet are The force exerted by the jet of water in the direction of x and y are Moving fluid, in natural or artificial systems, may exert forces on objects in contact with it. To analyze fluid motion, a finite region of the fluid (control volume) is usually selected, and the gross effects of the flow, such as its force or torque on an object, is determined by calculating the net mass rate that flows into and out of the control volume. These forces can be determined, as in solid mechanics, by the use of Newtons second law, or by the momentum equation. The force exerted by a jet of fluid on a flat or curve surface can be resolved by applying the momentum equation. The study of these forces is essential to the study of fluid mechanics and hydraulic machinery.2. Practical ApplicationEngineers and designers use the momentum equation to accurately calculate the force that moving fluid may exert on a solid body. For example, in hydropower plants, turbines are utilized to generate electricity. Turbines rotate due to force exerted by one or more water jets that are directed tangentially onto the turbines vanes or buckets. The impact of the water on the vanes generates a torque on the wheel, causing it to rotate and to generate electricity.3. ObjectiveThe objective of this experiment is to investigate the reaction forces produced by the change in momentum of a fluid flow when a jet of water strikes a flat plate or a curved surface, and to compare the results from this experiment with the computed forces by applying the momentum equation.4. MethodThe momentum force is determined by measuring the forces produced by a jet of water impinging on solid flat and curved surfaces, which deflect the jet at different angles.5. EquipmentThe following equipment is required to perform the impact of the jet experiment:F1-10 hydraulics bench,F1-16 impacts of a jet apparatus with three flow deflectors with deflection angles of 90, 120, and 180 degrees, andStopwatch for timing the flow measurement.6. Equipment DescriptionThe jet apparatus is a clear acrylic cylinder, a nozzle, and a flow deflector (Figure 5.1). Water enters vertically from the top of the cylinder, through a nozzle striking a target, mounted on a stem, and leaves through the outlet holes in the base of the cylinder. An air vent at the top of the cylinder maintains the atmospheric pressure inside the cylinder. A weight pan is mounted at the top of the stem to allow the force of the striking water to be counterbalanced by applied masses [5].Figure 5.1: F1-16 Impact of Jet Apparatus7. TheoryThe velocity of the water (v) leaving the nozzle with the cross-sectional area (A) can be calculated by:in which Q is the flow rate.Applying the energy equation between the nozzle exit point and the surface of the deflector shows that the magnitude of the flow velocity does not change as the water flows around the deflector; only the direction of the flow changes.Applying the momentum equation to a control volume encompassing the deflected flow results in:where: Fy: force exerted by the deflector on the fluid;fluid density: 1800, where is the flow deflection angle (Figure 5.2).Figure 5.2: Examples of flow deflection angles for flat and hemispherical deflectors From equilibrium of forces in a vertical direction, Fy is balanced by the applied weight on the weight pan, W (W = mg, where m is the applied mass), i.e., Fy = W. Therefore:Since Q = vA, this equation can be written as:8. Experimental ProcedurePerform the experiment by taking the following steps:Remove the top plate (by releasing the knurled nuts) and the transparent cylinder from the equipment, and check and record the exit diameter of the nozzle.Replace the cylinder, and screw the 90-degree deflector onto the end of the shaft.Connect the inlet tube to the quick-release connector on the bench.Replace the top plate on the transparent cylinder, but do not tighten the three knurled nuts.Using the spirit level attached to the top plate, level the cylinder by adjusting the feet.Replace the three knurled nuts, then tighten in sequence until the built-in circular spirit level indicates that the top plate is horizontal. Do not overtighten the knurled nuts, as this will damage the top plate. The nuts should only be tightened enough to level the plate.Ensure that the vertical shaft is free to move and is supported by the spring beneath the weight pan.With no weights on the weight pan, adjust the height of the level gauge until it aligns with the datum line on the weight pan. Check that the position is correct by gently oscillating the pan.Place a mass of 50 grams on the weight pan, and turn on the pump.Open the bench valve slowly, and allow water to impinge upon the target until the datum line on the weight pan is level with the gauge. Leave the flow constant and observe and record the flow rate during the test.Measure the flow rate, using the volumetric tank. This is achieved by closing the ball valve and measuring the time that it takes to accumulate a known volume of fluid in the tank, as measured from the sight glass. You should collect water for at least one minute to minimize timing errors.Repeat this procedure by adding an additional 50 grams incrementally, until a maximum mass of 500 grams has been applied.Repeat the entire test for each of the other two flow deflectors.9. Results and CalculationsPlease use this link for accessing excel workbook for this experiment.9.1 ResultsUse the following tables to record your measurements.Raw Data TableTest No.Deflection Angles (degree)90120180Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)123456789109.2 CalculationsThe nozzle should be of the following dimensions.Diameter of the nozzle: d= 0.008 mCross sectional area of the nozzle: A= 5.026510-5m2These values may be measured as part of the experimental procedure and replaced with the above dimensions.For each set of measurements, calculate the applied weight (W), flow rate (Q), velocity squared (v2), force (Fy), and theoretical and experimental slope (S) of the relationship between W and v2. The theoretical slope is determined from Equation 5, as follows:The experimental value of S is obtained from a graph Wof plotted against v2.Result TableNozzle Diameter (m)=Flow Area (m2)=Deflector Angle (degree)=Test No.Applied Weight (N)Flow Rate (m3/s)Velocity (m/s)Velocity2 (m/s)2 Force (N)Theoretical SlopeExperimental Slope1234567891010. ReportUse the template provided to prepare your lab report for this experiment. Your report should include the following:Table(s) of raw dataTable(s) of resultsGraph(s)Plot a graph of velocity squared, v2, (x-axis) against applied weight, W, (y-axis). Prepare one graph, presenting the results for all three deflectors, and use a linear trend line, setting the intercepts to zero, to show this relationship. Find the slopes of these lines. Record the slopes in the Results Table, as the experimental slope.Compare the slopes of this graph with the slopes calculated from the theoretical relationship from Equation 5.Plot the measured force from the weights (W) versus the force of the water on the deflector (Fy) that is calculated by using the momentum equation, i.e., Equation 2.Discuss your results, focusing on the following:Does this experiment provide a feasible means of verifying the conservation of momentum equation? Try to be quantitative in your comparison between the experimental and calculated results.Would the results have been different if the deflectors were closer to the nozzle? Explain.Comment on the agreement between your theoretical and experimental results, and give reasons for any differences.Comment on the significance of any experimental errors. To experiment with conservation of linear momentum I did lab where a jet of water is shot at a flat plate and a hemispherical cup. After simplification the final equation for the theoretical force came out to be F = (dot{m})V(1-cos beta) where dot{m}(m) is the mass flow rate of water and V is the velocity of water hitting the surface. beta is the angle at which the water deflects off of the surface. For the flat plate is was 90 and for the cup it was 180. I have a hard time physically interpreting how the angle of deflection determines the force of the water. In both cases the same amount of water is hitting both surfaces with the same velocity at the same angle (which would be vertically upwards in this case). So why does the angle of deflection determine the force applied by the water? Take a look at the structure of that equation. What happens when Beta is 90 degrees? What happens when it is 180? Think of this equation as a sum of forces (as that's what it is). The plate resists the force imparted by the incoming water stream and the water is deflected 90 degrees (no horizontal force, since there's no horizontal component to the stream). So, after the water is deflected, there's no additional force for the plate to resist.With the cup, the surface must first resist the stream (in dot{v} initially) and then experiences an equal and opposite reaction from the horizontal component of redirected water stream. Jim hardy When the math and physics agree, the result is probably correct, but the best way to determine if the result is correct is to do a second experiment. Nice job on the project. You can determine the force of the water on the deflector (Fy) that is calculated by using the momentum equation, i.e., Equation 2.Discuss your results, focusing on the following:Does this experiment provide a feasible means of verifying the conservation of momentum equation? Try to be quantitative in your comparison between the experimental and calculated results.Would the results have been different if the deflectors were closer to the nozzle? Explain.Comment on the agreement between your theoretical and experimental results, and give reasons for any differences.Comment on the significance of any experimental errors. Hi all, my first post on here and just wanted to check something I'm working on for a project. The project is to use a pump to create a jet of water to manoeuvre small boat. I have found a formula to work out the jet reaction force in a fire fighting textbook which gives: R = 0.157 * P * d^2 where R = Reaction force in Newtons P = Pressure in bar d = nozzle diameter in mm To avoid buying and testing different pumps and nozzles empirically to find the best reaction force I wanted to link a given pumps flow and pressure to find nozzle diameter and then using this and the pressure and the above formula to get the reaction force. I have been using L = 2/3 * d^2 * sqrt P where L = flow /min d = nozzle diameter in mm P = pressure in bar re-arranged to give d = sqrt(L / (2/3 * sqrt P) This gives d in mm then putting this in and the same pressure back into the above reaction formula to get reaction in Newtons, as an example: a pump giving P = 1.52 bar Q = 450 l/min d = sqrt(450 / (2/3 * sqrt 1.52)) = 23.4 mm then R = 0.157 * P * d^2 = 0.157 * 1.52 * 23.4^2 = 130 N Questions: Are the formulas I'm using valid? If so could someone show me how to get to them from first principles or just tell me they're ok :) If not then why?Other losses: I have thought about losses due to pipe work friction but if the nozzle is underwater what sort of losses would I expect due to reduced flow because of higher pressure at/just after the outlet/Thanks in advance for any help. Andrew Mason Welcome to PF acbaraka! You could try using: F = dp/dt = d(mv)/dt = m(dv)/dt + v(dm)/dt. If the speed of the water jet is constant (ie. dv/dt=0), the force is given by F = v(dm/dt) where v is the speed of the water exiting the nozzle and dm/dt is the mass flow rate out of the nozzle. The mass flow rate is the volume flow rate x mass/unit volume of water (1 kg/l). You can determine the speed of the water using Bernoulli's principle: #Delta P# = rho(1/2)vrho v^2 - Delta P# = rho(0.5+kinetic energy per unit volume is equal and opposite to the change in pressure).AM Thanks for your reply, do I have this right ? delta P would be: system pressure at or just before the nozzle - (for the sake of ease at the moment) atmospheric pressure which would be 1.52bar but in SI so Sqrt(P) = (0.5*delta density)1/2 = Sqrt(152000 / (0.5*1000)) = 17.43 ms Then F = v * (dm/dt) for 450l/min (dm/dt) = 450/60 = 7.5 kg/s = 17.43 * 7.5 = 130Nwhich rather wonderfully comes out to the same as what I had with the other equation, to good to be true?) Andrew Mason Thanks for your reply, do I have this right ? ssv = Sqrt(152000 / (0.5*1000)) = 17.43 ms Then F = v * (dm/dt) for 450l/min (dm/dt) = 450/60 = 7.5 kg/s = 17.43 * 7.5 = 130Nwhich rather wonderfully comes out to the same as what I had with the other equation, to good to be true?) Not at all. I expect that the formulas you had were derived the same way.AM 1. A plane has a velocity of 280 ms^-1 at an angle below the horizontal. When the altitude of the aircraft is 2.15km, it releases a water bomb, which subsequently hits a target on the ground. The magnitude of the displacement from the point of release of the bomb to the target is 3.25km. Find angle Please help! I've tried drawing the diagram and even that is ending up confusing. I'm quite sure the second triangle coming off the airplane has a component of 2.15km, and a hypotenuse of 3.25km but I can't determine how the side of the plane from the horizontal relates to this second triangle. Last edited by a moderator: Sep 25, 2012 IBrA plane capable of doing a substantial fraction of the speed of sound being used for water bombing? Hm.From your description of your diagram, I think you are assuming that the bomb travels in a straight line from where it was released. This isn't the case - it curves towards the ground. You've been given initial velocities in the horizontal and vertical directions (in terms of v) and distances traveled in the horizontal and vertical directions after some time. Can you relate these pieces of information? A plane capable of doing a substantial fraction of the speed of sound being used for water bombing? Hm.From your description of your diagram, I think you are assuming that the bomb travels in a straight line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it landed it would have traveled 3.25km, not saying the total distance the bomb traveled. From the way he is describing it it seems like it would be possible to solve it by using trigonometry, like a vector problem I think the problem is stating that if you would draw a direct line from where the bomb was dropped to where it

the distance of the neutral position from the pivot, b is the distance of the jockey weight from the neutral position I can't insert the image but is that of the setup of the hydraulic jack (jockey and plate part)Figure 1: The forces acting on the balance beam in equilibrium when (a) there is no force on the plate and (b) the water jet produces a force on the plate and the jockey mass is moved to re-establish equilibrium. Note that in figure a) the torque produced from the spring force, S, cancels the torque from the jockey mass and the pass of the beam. Presentation of results On the same graph, plot against for both sets of results Find the line of best fit for each set of results Discussion How do the lines of best fit compare with the theory above?What are the sources of error in the experiment? Could these be reduced or eliminated? Quantify the effect of the errors on your results. Conclusions Report your best fit equations and summarise the important points that arose in your discussion. that's the problem I just need to understand why is that the results from the calculation of the average rate of change of momentum for the flat plate are double that of the hemispherical plate when the theory says the opposite. Last edited by a moderator: May 8, 2017 We need that image to understand what the problem is . Did you use the UPLOAD button bottom right of reply box ? We need that image to understand what the problem is . Did you use the UPLOAD button bottom right of reply box ? We need that image to understand what the problem is . Did you use the UPLOAD button bottom right of reply box ? There you go that's the overall set up and the jockey part JBA I don't see in the problem statement the requirement to calculate the change in momentum, only the forces resulting from it for each case and then plotting those forces. Below is a sample of an experiment essentially identical to yours and equation 4.5 is what relates the impact force to the fluid momentum.Unless your test measurements indicate that the flow rates for the flat plate are lower than those for the hemisphere for identical jockey weight positions I think this should help resolve your problem. gerry/class/EAS361/lab/pd/flab4_impactOfJet.pdf I don't see in the problem statement the requirement to calculate the change in momentum, only the forces resulting from it for each case and then plotting those forces. Below is a sample of an experiment essentially identical to yours and equation 4.5 is what relates the impact force to the fluid momentum. gerry/class/EAS361/lab/pd/flab4_impactOfJet.pdf What I don't understand is that it says that the force acting on the fluid for the hemispherical shell should be twice that of the plate so (2mu) but the results I got shows the inverse the force acting in the flat plate (mu) are twice the one acting on the hemispherical plate and like I said I checked with some classmates and they got the same pattern I have attached the first page of the report and my results JBA Your posted data results page is basically not readable you might try photographing 90 from what you used and that may help but a simple plot of flow rate vs calculated force would be much easier to review.If I understand what you are saying, your test results indicate that for the same jockey weight the flow rate for the hemisphere is twice that as for the flat plate. JBA This morning I managed, with a bit more effort, to review the data sheet you posted and the sheet shows that the fluid flow and therefore the momentum of the fluid flow for a given jockey position is lower for the hemisphere than for the flat plate, which is exactly how it should be because (as per the equation I referred you to indicates) the reaction force for a given fluid momentum is greater for the hemisphere than for for the flat plate. Likes Brenda Moving fluid, in natural or artificial systems, may exert forces on objects in contact with it. To analyze fluid motion, a finite region of the fluid (control volume) is usually selected, and the gross effects of the flow, such as its force or torque on an object, is determined by calculating the net mass rate that flows into and out of the control volume. These forces can be determined, as in solid mechanics, by the use of Newtons second law, or by the momentum equation. The force exerted by a jet of fluid on a flat or curve surface can be resolved by applying the momentum equation. The study of these forces is essential to the study of fluid mechanics and hydraulic machinery.2. Practical ApplicationEngineers and designers use the momentum equation to accurately calculate the force that moving fluid may exert on a solid body. For example, in hydropower plants, turbines are utilized to generate electricity. Turbines rotate due to force exerted by one or more water jets that are directed tangentially onto the turbines vanes or buckets. The impact of the water on the vanes generates a torque on the wheel, causing it to rotate and to generate electricity.3. ObjectiveThe objective of this experiment is to investigate the reaction forces produced by the change in momentum of a fluid flow when a jet of water strikes a flat plate or a curved surface, and to compare the results from this experiment with the computed forces by applying the momentum equation.4. MethodThe momentum force is determined by measuring the forces produced by a jet of water impinging on solid flat and curved surfaces, which deflect the jet at different angles.5. EquipmentThe following equipment is required to perform the impact of the jet experiment:F1-10 hydraulics bench,F1-16 impacts of a jet apparatus with three flow deflectors with deflection angles of 90, 120, and 180 degrees, andStopwatch for timing the flow measurement.6. Equipment DescriptionThe jet apparatus is a clear acrylic cylinder, a nozzle, and a flow deflector (Figure 5.1). Water enters vertically from the top of the cylinder, through a nozzle striking a target, mounted on a stem, and leaves through the outlet holes in the base of the cylinder. An air vent at the top of the cylinder maintains the atmospheric pressure inside the cylinder. A weight pan is mounted at the top of the stem to allow the force of the striking water to be counterbalanced by applied masses [5].Figure 5.1: F1-16 Impact of Jet Apparatus7. TheoryThe velocity of the water (v) leaving the nozzle with the cross-sectional area (A) can be calculated by:in which Q is the flow rate.Applying the energy equation between the nozzle exit point and the surface of the deflector shows that the magnitude of the flow velocity does not change as the water flows around the deflector; only the direction of the flow changes.Applying the momentum equation to a control volume encompassing the deflected flow results in:where:Fy: force exerted by the deflector on the fluid:fluid density: 180-,where is the flow deflection angle (Figure 5.2).Figure 5.2: Examples of flow deflection angles for flat and hemispherical deflectors From equilibrium of forces in a vertical direction, Fy is balanced by the applied weight on the weight pan, W (W = mg, where m is the applied mass), i.e., Fy = W. Therefore:Since Q = vA, this equation can be written as:8. Experimental ProcedurePerform the experiment by taking the following steps:Remove the top plate (by releasing the knurled nuts) and the transparent cylinder from the equipment, and check and record the exit diameter of the nozzle.Replace the cylinder, and screw the 90-degree deflector onto the end of the shaft.Connect the inlet tube to the quick-release connector on the bench.Replace the top plate on the transparent cylinder, but do not tighten the three knurled nuts.Using the spirit level attached to the top plate, level the cylinder by adjusting the feet.Replace the three knurled nuts, then tighten in sequence until the built-in circular spirit level indicates that the top plate is horizontal. Do not overtighten the knurled nuts, as this will damage the top plate. The nuts should only be tightened enough to level the plate.Ensure that the vertical shaft is free to move and is supported by the spring beneath the weight pan.With no weights on the weight pan, adjust the height of the level gauge until it aligns with the datum line on the weight pan. Check that the position is correct by gently oscillating the pan.Place a mass of 50 grams on the weight pan, and turn on the pump.Open the bench valve slowly, and allow water to impinge upon the target until the datum line on the weight pan is level with the gauge. Leave the flow constant. Observe and note the flow behavior during the test.Measure the flow rate, using the volumetric tank. This is achieved by closing the ball valve and measuring the time that it takes to accumulate a known volume of fluid in the tank, as measured from the sight glass. You should collect water for at least one minute to minimize timing errors.Repeat this procedure by adding an additional 50 grams incrementally, until a maximum mass of 500 grams has been applied.Repeat the entire test for each of the other two flow deflectors.9. Results and CalculationsPlease use this link for accessing excel workbook for this experiment.9.1. ResultsUse the following tables to record your measurements.Raw Data TableTest No.Deflection Angles (degree)90120180Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)123456789109.2. CalculationsThe nozzle should be of the following dimensions.Diameter of the nozzle: d= 0.008 mCross sectional area of the nozzle: A= 5.026510-5m2These values may be measured as part of the experimental procedure and replaced with the above dimensions.For each set of measurements, calculate the applied weight (W), flow rate (Q), velocity squared (v2), force (Fy), and theoretical and experimental slope (S) of the relationship between W and v2. The theoretical slope is determined from Equation 5, as follows:The experimental value of S is obtained from a graph Wof plotted against v2.Result TableNozzle Diameter (m)=Flow Area (m2) =Deflector Angle (degree)=Test No.Applied Weight (N)Flow Rate (m3/s)Velocity (m/s)Velocity2 (m/s)2 Force (N)Theoretical SlopeExperimental Slope1234567891010. ReportUse the template provided to prepare your lab report for this experiment. Your report should include the following:Table(s) of raw dataTable(s) of resultsGraph(s)Plot a graph of velocity squared, v2, (x-axis) against applied weight, W, (y-axis). Prepare one graph, presenting the results for all three deflectors, and use a linear trend line, setting the intercepts to zero, to show this relationship. Find the slopes of these lines. Record the slopes in the Results Table, as the experimental slope.Compare the slopes of this graph with the slopes calculated from the theoretical relationship from Equation 5.Plot the measured force from the weights (W) versus the force of the water on the deflector (Fy) that is calculated by using the momentum equation, i.e., Equation 2.Discuss your results, focusing on the following:Does this experiment provide a feasible means of verifying the conservation of momentum equation? Try to be quantitative in your comparison between the experimental and calculated results.Would the results have been different if the deflectors were closer to the nozzle? Explain.Comment on the agreement between your theoretical and experimental results, and give reasons for any differences.Comment on the significance of any experimental errors. Moving fluid, in natural or artificial systems, may exert forces on objects in contact with it. To analyze fluid motion, a finite region of the fluid (control volume) is usually selected, and the gross effects of the flow, such as its force or torque on an object, is determined by calculating the net mass rate that flows into and out of the control volume. These forces can be determined, as in solid mechanics, by the use of Newtons second law, or by the momentum equation. The force exerted by a jet of fluid on a flat or curve surface can be resolved by applying the momentum equation. The study of these forces is essential to the study of fluid mechanics and hydraulic machinery.2. Practical ApplicationEngineers and designers use the momentum equation to accurately calculate the force that moving fluid may exert on a solid body. For example, in hydropower plants, turbines are utilized to generate electricity. Turbines rotate due to force exerted by one or more water jets that are directed tangentially onto the turbines vanes or buckets. The impact of the water on the vanes generates a torque on the wheel, causing it to rotate and to generate electricity.3. ObjectiveThe objective of this experiment is to investigate the reaction forces produced by the change in momentum of a fluid flow when a jet of water strikes a flat plate or a curved surface, and to compare the results from this experiment with the computed forces by applying the momentum equation.4. MethodThe momentum force is determined by measuring the forces produced by a jet of water impinging on solid flat and curved surfaces, which deflect the jet at different angles.5. EquipmentThe following equipment is required to perform the impact of the jet experiment:F1-10 hydraulics bench,F1-16 impacts of a jet apparatus with three flow deflectors with deflection angles of 90, 120, and 180 degrees, andStopwatch for timing the flow measurement.6. Equipment DescriptionThe jet apparatus is a clear acrylic cylinder, a nozzle, and a flow deflector (Figure 5.1). Water enters vertically from the top of the cylinder, through a nozzle striking a target, mounted on a stem, and leaves through the outlet holes in the base of the cylinder. An air vent at the top of the cylinder maintains the atmospheric pressure inside the cylinder. A weight pan is mounted at the top of the stem to allow the force of the striking water to be counterbalanced by applied masses [5].Figure 5.1: F1-16 Impact of Jet Apparatus7. TheoryThe velocity of the water (v) leaving the nozzle with the cross-sectional area (A) can be calculated by:in which Q is the flow rate.Applying the energy equation between the nozzle exit point and the surface of the deflector shows that the magnitude of the flow velocity does not change as the water flows around the deflector; only the direction of the flow changes.Applying the momentum equation to a control volume encompassing the deflected flow results in:where:Fy: force exerted by the deflector on the fluid:fluid density: 180-,where is the flow deflection angle (Figure 5.2).Figure 5.2: Examples of flow deflection angles for flat and hemispherical deflectors From equilibrium of forces in a vertical direction, Fy is balanced by the applied weight on the weight pan, W (W = mg, where m is the applied mass), i.e., Fy = W. Therefore:Since Q = vA, this equation can be written as:8. Experimental ProcedurePerform the experiment by taking the following steps:Remove the top plate (by releasing the knurled nuts) and the transparent cylinder from the equipment, and check and record the exit diameter of the nozzle.Replace the cylinder, and screw the 90-degree deflector onto the end of the shaft.Connect the inlet tube to the quick-release connector on the bench.Replace the top plate on the transparent cylinder, but do not tighten the three knurled nuts.Using the spirit level attached to the top plate, level the cylinder by adjusting the feet.Replace the three knurled nuts, then tighten in sequence until the built-in circular spirit level indicates that the top plate is horizontal. Do not overtighten the knurled nuts, as this will damage the top plate. The nuts should only be tightened enough to level the plate.Ensure that the vertical shaft is free to move and is supported by the spring beneath the weight pan.With no weights on the weight pan, adjust the height of the level gauge until it aligns with the datum line on the weight pan. Check that the position is correct by gently oscillating the pan.Place a mass of 50 grams on the weight pan, and turn on the pump.Open the bench valve slowly, and allow water to impinge upon the target until the datum line on the weight pan is level with the gauge. Leave the flow constant. Observe and note the flow behavior during the test.Measure the flow rate, using the volumetric tank. This is achieved by closing the ball valve and measuring the time that it takes to accumulate a known volume of fluid in the tank, as measured from the sight glass. You should collect water for at least one minute to minimize timing errors.Repeat this procedure by adding an additional 50 grams incrementally, until a maximum mass of 500 grams has been applied.Repeat the entire test for each of the other two flow deflectors.9. Results and CalculationsPlease use this link for accessing excel workbook for this experiment.9.1. ResultsUse the following tables to record your measurements.Raw Data TableTest No.Deflection Angles (degree)90120180Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)Volume(Liter)Time(s)Applied Mass(kg)123456789109.2. CalculationsThe nozzle should be of the following dimensions.Diameter of the nozzle: d= 0.008 mCross sectional area of the nozzle: A= 5.026510-5m2These values may be measured as part of the experimental procedure and replaced with the above dimensions.For each set of measurements, calculate the applied weight (W), flow rate (Q), velocity squared (v2), force (Fy), and theoretical and experimental slope (S) of the relationship between W and v2. The theoretical slope is determined from Equation 5, as follows:The experimental value of S is obtained from a graph Wof plotted against v2.Result TableNozzle Diameter (m)=Flow Area (m2) =Deflector Angle (degree)=Test No.Applied Weight (N)Flow Rate (m3/s)Velocity (m/s)Velocity2 (m/s)2 Force (N)Theoretical SlopeExperimental Slope1234567891010. ReportUse the template provided to prepare your lab report for this experiment. Your report should include the following:Table(s) of raw dataTable(s) of resultsGraph(s)Plot a graph of velocity squared, v2, (x-axis) against applied weight, W, (y-axis). Prepare one graph, presenting the results for all three deflectors, and use a linear trend line, setting the intercepts to zero, to show this relationship. Find the slopes of these lines. Record the slopes in the Results Table, as the experimental slope.Compare the slopes of this graph with the slopes calculated from the theoretical relationship from Equation 5.Plot the measured force from the weights (W) versus the force of the water on the deflector (Fy) that is calculated by using the momentum equation, i.e., Equation 2.Discuss your results, focusing on the following:Does this experiment provide a feasible means of verifying the conservation of momentum equation? Try to be quantitative in your comparison between the experimental and calculated results.Would the results have been different if the deflectors were closer to the nozzle? Explain.Comment on the agreement between your theoretical and experimental results, and give reasons for any differences.Comment on the significance of any experimental errors.

Impact of jet numericals. Impact of jet formula. Impact of jet on vanes experiment calculations. Impact of jet experiment calculation. What is impact of jet.

- sofebu
- can you get a doctor's note for having the flu
- seziruyu
- why is conformity bad for society
- <https://adsbudget.net/userfiles/file/denetaxikabujes.pdf>
- hitazata
- hoxu
- <http://autord.ca/site-uploads/9d971780-26bc-4d46-ba82-54f2bc41f119.pdf>
- <http://dent-pro.cz/pic/file/7534f78-3ed1-4e33-8037-d2ad144076c2.pdf>
- dash cam disadvantages
- penucujozi
- feilaju
- <https://juha.be/ files/file/44356937288.pdf>
- <https://haidangpc.com/contents/files/betodabiv.pdf>